

THE STRUCTURE OF $G/\Phi(G)$ IN TERMS OF $\sigma(G)$

ALIREZA JAMALI & HAMID MOUSAVI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group. We let $\mathfrak{m}(G)$ and $\sigma(G)$ denote the number of maximal subgroups of G and the least positive integer n such that G is written as the union of n proper subgroups, respectively. In this paper we determine the structure of $G/\Phi(G)$ when G is a finite soluble group with $\mathfrak{m}(G) \leq 2\sigma(G)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. We let $\mathfrak{m}(G)$ and $\sigma(G)$ denote the number of maximal subgroups of G and the least positive integer n such that G is written as the union of n proper subgroups, respectively.

In [3], the authors produce a number of results which enables us to determine the structure of $G/\Phi(G)$ when G is a finite soluble group with $\mathfrak{m}(G) \leq 2\sigma(G)$. The determination of $G/\Phi(G)$ when G is soluble is of particular interest, for the Hall subgroups of $G/\Phi(G)$ gives us some information about the Hall subgroups of G . Pazderski [5] proves that the groups having at most 20 maximal subgroups are soluble. The author in [6] gives, among the others, a result which simplifies the proof of Pazderski's result as well as classifying those finite groups that have exactly eight maximal subgroups. In [4], the author has determined the structure of $G/\Phi(G)$, where G is a finite non-nilpotent group with $\mathfrak{m}(G) \leq 20$. For an application, the author [4] gives, for example, a complete list of finite non-nilpotent groups having exactly 9 maximal subgroups.

The authors [3] proves the following results:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a non-cyclic finite soluble group with $\sigma(G) = n$. Then there exists n maximal subgroups $M_1, \dots, M_n$ of indices $i_1, \dots, i_n$, respectively, such that $G = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^n M_\ell$, $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n$ and one of the following statements holds:*

- 1) $i_1 < n - 1$ and $M_2, \dots, M_n$ are conjugate;
- 2) $i_1 = n - 1$ and $M_i \triangleleft G$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

An n -tuple $(M_1, \dots, M_n)$ of maximal subgroups of G is called a σ -cover of G whenever $G = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^n M_\ell$. A σ -cover of G satisfying the condition (1) of above

result is said to be a *conjugate σ -cover* of G while that satisfying the condition (2) is called a *normal σ -cover* of G .

Proposition 1.2. *Let G be a finite soluble group. If G has a non-normal maximal subgroup of index $\sigma(G) - 1$, then G has a conjugate σ -cover.*

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a finite soluble group, and let p be a prime divisor of $|G|$. If G has at least two maximal subgroups of index p^n , then $\sigma(G) \leq 1 + p^n$.*

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a soluble group with $\sigma(G) = n$. If $m < n - 1$, then G has at most one maximal subgroup of index m .*

In this paper, by using the above results, for a finite non-nilpotent soluble group G , we give the structure of $G/\Phi(G)$ when G has at most $2\sigma(G)$ maximal subgroups.

2. THE STRUCTURE OF $G/\Phi(G)$

In this section we aim to obtain the structure of $G/\Phi(G)$, where G is a non-nilpotent soluble group. We shall show that the structure of $G/\Phi(G)$ depends completely on the value of $\sigma(G)$.

For simplicity we shall use the abbreviations $\mathbf{m}$ and σ for $\mathbf{m}(G)$ and $\sigma(G)$, respectively. Clearly $\sigma \leq \mathbf{m}$. Following Cohn [1], a group G is said to be a *primitive n -sum group* if G has no normal subgroup N for which $\sigma(G/N) = \sigma(G)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be any finite group with $\mathbf{m} > \sigma$, and let $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ be a σ -cover of G . Suppose that $M_i \triangleleft G$ for $\sigma < i \leq \mathbf{m}$, and that $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^\sigma M_i$. Then $H \triangleleft G$ and $G/\Phi(G) \cong H/\Phi(G) \times G/H$. Moreover,*

- (i) $H/\Phi(G)$ is a direct product of elementary abelian groups;
- (ii) if G has a unique σ -cover, then G/H is a primitive σ -sum group.

Proof. The normality of H is obvious. We set $H_0 = H$, $l_0 = \sigma + 1$ and for $j \geq 1$, we define

$$l_j = \min\{i \mid H_{j-1} \not\leq M_i, l_{j-1} \leq i \leq \mathbf{m}\},$$

$$H_j = H_{j-1} \cap M_{l_j}.$$

Hence $\Phi(G) = H_n$ for some integer n . Now on taking $K_j = \bigcap_{i=1}^j M_{l_i}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), we find that $G = H_{j-1}M_{l_j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), and $G = K_jM_{l_{j+1}}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n-1$). Therefore, $|H_n||G|^n = |H_0|\prod_{j=1}^n |M_{l_j}|$, and $|K_n||G|^{n-1} = |K_1|\prod_{j=2}^n |M_{l_j}|$. Since $K_1 = M_{l_1}$ and $H_n = H_0 \cap K_n$, we get $|G| = |H_0K_n|$. So $G = HK_n$ and $G/H \cong K_n/\Phi(G)$. This proves the main part of the proposition, as $G/\Phi(G) \cong H/\Phi(G) \times K_n/\Phi(G)$. Now since $H/\Phi(G) \cong G/K_n$ and G/K_n

has no non-normal maximal subgroup, because $K_n \not\leq M_j$ for $j \leq \sigma$, the group G/K_n is nilpotent; but $\Phi(G/K_n)$ is trivial and hence (i) is proved.

For the second part of the proposition, assume that N/H is a non-trivial normal subgroup of G/H and that $\sigma(G/N) = \sigma(G/H)$. Let $(M_1^*/N, \dots, M_\sigma^*/N)$ be a σ -cover of G/N . Clearly $(M_1^*, \dots, M_\sigma^*)$ is a σ -cover of G . By the uniqueness assumption, we then have $\bigcap_{i=1}^\sigma M_i^* = H$. Therefore, $H = N$, a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *If a finite soluble group G has a non-normal abelian maximal subgroup of index $\sigma(G) - 1$, then $G/\Phi(G) \cong \text{Inn}(G) \times Z(G)/\Phi(G)$ and $\text{Inn}(G)$ is primitive σ -sum group.*

Proof. Using the notation of Proposition 2.1, we find that $H = Z(G)$ by [3, Corollary 4.7]. Also G has a unique conjugate σ -cover, so that $\text{Inn}(G)$ is primitive σ -sum group. $\square$

Remark. We note that if $\sigma = \mathfrak{m}$ for a finite group G , then $G/\Phi(G)$ is a primitive σ -sum group. Hence, if $\mathfrak{m} = 3$ then $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, by [1, Theorem 3]. Therefore G is a 2-group.

In what follows E_n denotes an elementary abelian group of order n .

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite non-nilpotent soluble group. If $\sigma = \mathfrak{m}$, then $G/\Phi(G) \cong E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, $\sigma \neq 3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ acts faithfully on $E_{\sigma-1}$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1, for each σ -cover $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ of G , we have $i_1 < \sigma - 1$ and $M_2, \dots, M_\sigma$ are conjugate in G . Since $G/\Phi(G)$ is a primitive σ -sum group, $G/\Phi(G)$ is primitive soluble group. Therefore, by [2, Theorem 15.6], $G/\Phi(G) = L/\Phi(G) \rtimes M/\Phi(G)$ where $L/\Phi(G)$ is an elementary abelian group of order $\sigma - 1$, and $M/\Phi(G)$ is a cyclic p -group. Clearly the action of $M/\Phi(G)$ on $L/\Phi(G)$ is faithful. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite non-nilpotent soluble group. Suppose that $\sigma < \mathfrak{m}$ and $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ is a σ -cover of G such that $M_i \triangleleft G$ for each i , ($\sigma < i \leq \mathfrak{m}$). Then $G/\Phi(G) \cong (E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t) \times \prod_{i=1}^\ell \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$, where $t \mid |\text{Aut}(E_{\sigma-1})|$, $p_1, \dots, p_\ell$ are primes (not necessarily distinct), $\sigma > 3$ and $\ell = \mathfrak{m}(G) - \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) - \sigma + 1$.*

Proof. As G is non-nilpotent, the σ -cover $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ of G is unique. Now the lemma follows from Proposition 2.1, by observing that $G/H \cong E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t$ and $H/\Phi(G) \cong \prod_{i=1}^\ell \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ where $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^\sigma M_i$. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a finite non-nilpotent soluble group. If $\mathfrak{m} < 2\sigma - 1$ then $G/\Phi(G) \cong (E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1 \dots p_\ell}$, where $t \mid |\text{Aut}(E_{\sigma-1})|$, $p_1, \dots, p_\ell$ are distinct primes coprime to t , $\sigma \neq 3$ and $\ell = \mathfrak{m}(G) - \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) - \sigma + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ is any σ -cover of G . By Corollary 1.4 it follows that each M_i with $\sigma < i \leq m$ is unique. Therefore, $M_i \triangleleft G$ for $\sigma < i \leq m$ and the result is proved by using Lemma 2.4. Now since the maximal subgroups of $H/\Phi(G)$, where $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^\sigma M_i$, are unique, we see that $p_1, \dots, p_\ell$ are distinct. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Let G be a soluble group having m non-conjugate maximal subgroups $M_1, \dots, M_m$. Suppose that $M_1, \dots, M_m$ are non-normal in G . Let $C_i = \text{Core}_G(M_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $H_i = \bigcap_{\ell \neq i}^n C_\ell$, where $n \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

- (i) *If $H_i \not\subseteq C_i$ for all i , then $G/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \cong (\prod_{i=1}^n L_i/C_i) \rtimes (\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i)$, where L_i is the normal minimal subgroup of G containing C_i .*
- (ii) *If $G = H_\ell C_\ell$ for some ℓ , then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \cong M_\ell/C_\ell \times \bigcap_{i \neq \ell}^n M_i/H_\ell$.*
- (iii) *If $H_\ell \cap M_\ell \leq C_\ell$ for some ℓ , then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \cong \bigcap_{i \neq \ell}^n M_i/\bigcap_{i \neq \ell}^n C_i$.*

Proof. For simplicity we set $L = \bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i$, $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i$, $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i$ and $K_\ell = \bigcap_{i \neq \ell}^n M_i$.

(i) Since L_i is unique, we have

$$(L_i \cap H_i)M_i = (L_i \cap H_i)C_i M_i = (L_i \cap H_i C_i)M_i = L_i M_i = G \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

$$(L_i \cap H_i)/\bigcap_{\ell=1}^n C_\ell \cong (L_i \cap H_i)C_i/C_i = (L_i \cap H_i C_i)/C_i = L_i/C_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Now using $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i = (L_1 \cap H_1) \cdots (L_n \cap H_n)$, we obtain

$$L/C = (L_1 \cap H_1) \cdots (L_n \cap H_n)/C \cong \prod_{i=1}^n ((L_i \cap H_i)/C) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n L_i/C_i,$$

and $LM = (L_1 \cap H_1) \cdots (L_n \cap H_n)M = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (L_i \cap H_i)M_i = G$. Therefore

$$G/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i = LM/C \cong L/C \rtimes M/C \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^n L_i/C_i\right) \rtimes \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i\right).$$

(ii) We have the following isomorphism:

$$(H_\ell \cap M_\ell)/C \cong (H_\ell \cap M_\ell)C_\ell/C_\ell = (H_\ell C_\ell \cap M_\ell)/C_\ell = M_\ell/C_\ell.$$

Now since $M = (M_\ell \cap H_\ell)(K_\ell \cap C_\ell)$, we get

$$M/C = (M_\ell \cap H_\ell)(K_\ell \cap C_\ell)/C \cong (M_\ell \cap H_\ell)/C \times (K_\ell \cap C_\ell)/C \cong M_\ell/C_\ell \times K_\ell/H_\ell.$$

(iii) By our assumption, we find that $H_\ell \cap M_\ell = \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i$ and $H_\ell M_\ell = G$, whence

$$M/C \cong (K_\ell \cap M_\ell)/(H_\ell \cap M_\ell) \cong (K_\ell \cap H_\ell M_\ell)/H_\ell = K_\ell/H_\ell. \square$$

Corollary 2.7. *With the above notation and assumption, if $|M_\ell/C_\ell|$ is a prime for some ℓ and if $H_\ell C_\ell \neq G$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i/\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \cong (\bigcap_{i \neq \ell}^n M_i)/H_\ell$.*

Proof. We have $(H_\ell \cap M_\ell)/(H_\ell \cap C_\ell) \cong (H_\ell C_\ell \cap M_\ell)/C_\ell \triangleleft M_\ell/C_\ell$. But since $M_\ell \not\triangleleft G$, we conclude that $H_\ell \cap M_\ell = H_\ell \cap C_\ell$. The result is now immediate by using Theorem 2.6 (part(iii)). $\square$

Remark. By (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$(1) \quad G / \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^n L_i / C_i \right) \rtimes \left(\prod_{i=1}^n M_i / C_i \right).$$

On the other hand, since $G = H_\ell C_\ell$, it is readily seen that

$$(2) \quad G / \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \cong G / C_\ell \times G / H_\ell.$$

In what follows, we shall show that how the direct decomposition of (2) is obtainable from the semidirect decomposition of (1). To see this it is enough to show that $[L_i / C_i, M_j / C_j] = 1$ for all i, j with $i \neq j$. Since $M_j \cap H_j \triangleleft M_j$ and $L_i \cap H_i \triangleleft M_j$ (for $i \neq j$), we have

$$[L_i \cap H_i, M_j \cap H_j] \leq (L_i \cap H_i) \cap (M_j \cap H_j) = \bigcap_{\ell=1}^n C_\ell \quad (i \neq j),$$

and so $[(L_i \cap H_i) / \bigcap_{\ell=1}^n C_\ell, (M_j \cap H_j) / \bigcap_{\ell=1}^n C_\ell] = 1$, for all i, j with $i \neq j$. Our assertion follows at once by considering the following isomorphisms:

$$L_i / C_i \cong (L_i \cap H_i) / \bigcap_{\ell=1}^n C_\ell, \quad M_i / C_i \cong (M_i \cap H_i) / \bigcap_{\ell=1}^n C_\ell.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let G be a soluble group having two non-conjugate maximal subgroups M_1 and M_2 such that $|G : C_1 C_2| = \ell > 1$ and M_i / C_i is cyclic of order r_i , ($i = 1, 2$). Then $(M_1 \cap M_2) / (C_1 \cap C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $t = r_1 r_2 / \ell n$ and $n = (r_1 / \ell, r_2 / \ell)$.*

Proof. Since M_1 and M_2 are non-conjugate maximal subgroups of G , the subgroup $C_1 C_2$ contains properly both C_1 and C_2 . Hence $L_i \leq C_1 C_2$ ($i = 1, 2$), because L_i is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G containing C_i . Hence by $G / C_i \cong L_i / C_i \rtimes M_i / C_i$ ($i = 1, 2$); we conclude that ℓ divides both r_1 and r_2 . We now distinguish two cases.

Case 1. We assume that either $C_1 \cap M_2 = C_1 \cap C_2$ or $C_2 \cap M_1 = C_1 \cap C_2$. If $C_1 \cap M_2 = C_1 \cap C_2$, then $(M_1 \cap M_2) / (C_1 \cap C_2) = (M_1 \cap M_2) / (C_1 \cap M_2) = M_1 / C_1$. Also $C_1 C_2 \cap M_2 = C_2 (C_1 \cap M_2) = C_2$. Hence

$$r_2 = |M_2 : C_2| = |M_2 : C_1 C_2 \cap M_2| = |C_1 C_2 M_2 : C_1 C_2| = |G : C_1 C_2| = \ell,$$

it follows that $t = r_1$ and $n = 1$, and (ii) is proved at once. A similar argument can be used when $C_2 \cap M_1 = C_1 \cap C_2$.

Case 2. We set $H_1 = (C_1 \cap M_2)/(C_1 \cap C_2)$ and $H_2 = (C_2 \cap M_1)/(C_1 \cap C_2)$. Then H_1 and H_2 are non-trivial. We let $K = (M_1 \cap M_2)/(C_1 \cap C_2)$. Now K is abelian, by using the fact that $(M_1 \cap M_2)/(C_1 \cap C_2) \hookrightarrow M_1/C_1 \times M_2/C_2$. We then have $|K| = r_1 r_2 / \ell$, $|H_i| = r_j / \ell$, where $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Clearly $K/H_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_{r_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). So we may assume that K contains two elements x and y of order r_1 and r_2 , respectively. On setting $H = \langle xy \rangle$, we get $|H| = r_1 r_2 / \ell n$, where $n = (r_1 / \ell, r_2 / \ell)$. Obviously, if $n = 1$, then $H = K$ and the proof is completed. We now suppose that $n \neq 1$. Since $H_1 \cap H_2 = 1$ and $H_1 H_2$ is non-cyclic, we conclude that H cannot contain both H_1 and H_2 . We assume that $H_1 \not\leq H$, say. Then $K = HH_1$ and $|H \cap H_1| = r_2 / n\ell$. It is now straightforward to see that $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $t = r_1 r_2 / n\ell$. $\square$

Theorem 2.9. *Let G be a finite non-nilpotent soluble group with $\mathbf{m} = 2\sigma - 1$. Then $\sigma \neq 3$ and*

- (i) $G/\Phi(G) \cong (E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1 \dots p_\ell}$, where $t \mid |\text{Aut}(E_{\sigma-1})|$, $p_1, \dots, p_\ell$ are distinct primes coprime to t and $\ell = \sigma - \mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t)$.
- (ii) $G/\Phi(G) \cong (E_{\sigma-1} \times E_{\sigma-1}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$, where p is a prime and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^\alpha \mid |\text{Aut}(E_{\sigma-1})|$.

Proof. We first show that G has a conjugate σ -cover. Suppose that G has no conjugate σ -cover and assume that $M_1, \dots, M_{2\sigma-1}$ are all maximal subgroups of G such that $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ is a normal σ -cover of G . It follows from Corollary 1.4 that, $M_{\sigma+1}, \dots, M_{2\sigma-1}$ are mutually conjugate in G . Since $\sigma - 1$ is a prime, $G/C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1} \rtimes (M_{\sigma+1}/C)$, where $C = \text{Core}_G(M_{\sigma+1})$. Hence, G must have a maximal normal subgroup of index less than $\sigma - 1$, which is impossible. Therefore, G has a σ -cover $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ satisfying the condition (i) of the theorem 1.1.

Now if $M_i \triangleleft G$ for each $i > \sigma$, then the first part of the theorem occurs, and we have $\ell = \mathbf{m}(G) - \mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) + 1 = \sigma - \mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t)$.

Next suppose that $M_i \not\triangleleft G$ for some $i > \sigma$. Then $|G : M_i| \geq \sigma - 1$ and hence $M_{\sigma+1}, \dots, M_{2\sigma-1}$ form a single conjugacy class in G . We set $C_1 = \text{Core}_G(M_2)$ and $C_2 = \text{Core}_G(M_{\sigma+1})$. Since G has only one maximal subgroup which is normal, $G \neq C_1 C_2$. Also M_2/C_1 and $M_{\sigma+1}/C_2$ are cyclic, by Proposition 1.4(i). Now since M_1 is the only maximal subgroup of G which is normal, there is a prime number p such that M_2/C_1 and $M_{\sigma+1}/C_2$ have p power orders. By Theorem 2.8 the proof is completed, where $p^\alpha = \max\{|M_2/C_1|, |M_{\sigma+1}/C_2|\}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $q = 2^n - 1$ be a prime. Then there is a unique group of the structure $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$.*

Proof. To see this, it is enough to see that the image of the corresponding action is a Sylow q -subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.11. *Let $G = LM$, where $L \triangleleft G$, $L \cap M = 1$ and M be a maximal subgroup of G . Suppose that the action of M on $L \setminus \{1\}$ is faithful and fixed-point-free. If $|L| - 1$ is a prime and M is a Hamiltonian group, then*

- (i) M acts transitively on $L \setminus \{1\}$;
- (ii) either $G \cong S_3$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$, where $q = |L| - 1$.

Proof. Let X be an arbitrary M -orbit of $L \setminus \{1\}$ and let $H = \langle X \rangle$. Since M is maximal in G , $H = L$. It follows that $\text{Stab}_M(X)$ is contained in the kernel of the action, and hence $|X| = |M|$, because $\text{Stab}_M(x) = \text{Stab}_M(X)$ for all $x \in X$. This shows that any M -orbit of $L \setminus \{1\}$ has length equal to $|M|$. Therefore, $|M| \mid (|L| - 1)$ and we have $|M| = |L| - 1$; that is, M acts transitively on $L \setminus \{1\}$, which proves (i). Now by (i), we conclude that the elements of L have the same prime order p . So $|L| = p^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|L| - 1$ is a prime, either $|L| = 3$ or $p = 2$. This completes the proof of (ii). $\square$

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that p, q are primes and n, m are positive integers such that $p^n = q^m + 1$. Then one of the following statements holds*

- (i) $m = 1$, and q is a Mersenne prime;
- (ii) $n = 1$, and p is a Fermat prime;
- (iii) $q = n = 2$ and $p = m = 3$.

Proof. The proof is elementary. $\square$

Theorem 2.13. *Let G be a finite non-nilpotent soluble group with $\mathbf{m}(G) = 2\sigma$. Then $G/\Phi(G)$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (i) $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_3$;
- (ii) $E_\sigma \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, where $\sigma - 1 = q$ is a Mersenne prime;
- (iii) $E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1 \cdots p_\ell}$, where p_i 's are distinct primes being coprimes to t , $\sigma \neq 3$ and $\ell = \sigma - \mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) + 1$;
- (iv) $\mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1}$, where $\sigma - 1$ is a odd prime and $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) = 1$;
- (v) $E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{t_1} \times E_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{t_2}$, where t_1 and t_2 are coprime positive integers with $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_{t_1}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_{t_2}) = 1$ and $\sigma - 1$ is not a Fermat prime number;
- (vi) $(E_{\sigma-1} \times E_{\sigma-1}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t$, where $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) = 2$ and $\sigma \neq 3$;
- (vii) $(E_{\sigma-1} \times E_{\sigma-1}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) = 1$, $(p, t) = 1$, $t > 2$ and $\sigma \neq 3$;
- (viii) $E_\sigma \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t)$, where $\sigma - 1 = q$ is a Mersenne prime and $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) = 1$ with $t \mid \sigma - 2$.

Proof. Let $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ be a σ -cover of G , and let $M_{\sigma+1}, \dots, M_{2\sigma}$ be the remaining maximal subgroups of G . We set $C_i = \text{Core}_G(M_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2\sigma$. The proof is divided into two steps:

Step 1.

We first assume that G has no conjugate cover. By Theorem 1.1, we have $M_i \triangleleft G$, $1 \leq i \leq \sigma$. Therefore $\sigma - 1$ is a prime. Since G is non-nilpotent, $M_{\sigma+1}$ is non-normal with index σ in G , by Corollary 1.4 and Proposition 1.2.

On the other hand, $\sigma = p^n$ and $\sigma - 1 = q$ for some primes p and q . According to Lemma 2.12, either $\sigma = 3$ or q is a Mersenne prime. Then $G/\Phi(G)$ is isomorphic either to the group (i) or to (ii), depending on $\sigma = 3$ or $\sigma \neq 3$. We give a proof for the case when $\sigma \neq 3$. The first case is discussed similarly.

We have $G/C_{\sigma+1} \cong E_\sigma \rtimes (M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1})$. Since each maximal subgroup of $M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$ is normal of index q , $M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$ is a q -group. But $q^2 \nmid |\text{Aut}(E_\sigma)|$, so we have $|M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}| = q$. We may assume that $C_{\sigma+1} \not\leq M_1$, because G has more than one normal maximal subgroup. Hence $G/(M_1 \cap C_{\sigma+1}) \cong E_\sigma \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$. Now since $\mathfrak{m}(G/(M_1 \cap C_{\sigma+1})) = \mathfrak{m}(G)$, we have $\Phi(G) = M_1 \cap C_{\sigma+1}$.

Step 2.

We next assume that G has a non-normal maximal subgroup of index $\sigma - 1$. By Proposition 1.3, we may assume that $(M_1, \dots, M_\sigma)$ satisfies the condition (i) of Theorem 1.1. We now distinguish three following cases:

Case 1. M_j is normal in G , where $\sigma + 1 \leq j \leq 2\sigma$.

In this case by Corollary 1.4, the indices of M_j 's ($\sigma + 1 \leq j \leq 2\sigma$) are mutually coprime or equal to $\sigma - 1$, which lead to the groups (iii) and (iv), respectively. We give a proof for the latter case. We have $G/C_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t$ and $G/C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma-1}$, where $C = M_{\sigma+1} \cap M_{\sigma+2}$. Since the non-trivial normal subgroups of G/C are of indices $\sigma - 1$ and those of G/C_2 have indices less than $\sigma - 1$, we have $G = CC_2$. Now the proof is completed by the fact that $G/(C \cap C_2) \cong G/C \times G/C_2$.

Case 2. There exists an integer j with $\sigma + 1 \leq j \leq 2\sigma$ such that M_j is non-normal of index $\sigma - 1$ in G .

In this case, we may assume that $\{M_{\sigma+1}, \dots, M_{2\sigma-1}\}$ is the conjugacy class of M_j . Therefore, $M_{2\sigma}$ is a normal subgroup of G with index coprime to that of M_1 . It follows, from Proposition 1.4(i), that M_2/C_2 and $M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$ are cyclic. If $G = C_2 C_{\sigma+2}$ then, by Theorem 2.6(ii), $G/\Phi(G)$ is isomorphic to (v), and $|M_2/C_2|$, $|M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}|$ are coprime. Hence in this case $\sigma - 1$ is not a Fermat prime.

We now suppose that $G \neq C_2 C_{\sigma+1}$. If $M_{2\sigma}$ contains C_2 or $C_{\sigma+1}$, then $G/\Phi(G)$ is isomorphic to the group (vi), by Theorem 2.8. Otherwise, $G/\Phi(G)$ will be isomorphic to the group (vii).

Case 3. There exists an integer j with $\sigma+1 \leq j \leq 2\sigma$ such that M_j is non-normal of index σ in G .

In this case, σ and $\sigma-1$ are prime powers. By Lemma 2.12, one of the following statements holds:

- (a) $\sigma = q + 1$, where q is a Mersenne prime;
- (b) σ is a Fermat prime;
- (c) $\sigma = 9$.

In what follows, we shall show that the cases (b) and (c) cannot occur. In the case of (b), $|M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}|$ is a power of 2. However, by Proposition 1.4(iii), M_2/C_2 is cyclic of odd order, as $O_2(M_2/C_2) = 1$. So G must have two normal maximal subgroups, a contradiction.

Now suppose, by way of contradiction, that the case (c) occurs. Then $G/C_2 \cong E_8 \rtimes (M_2/C_2)$, which shows that M_2/C_2 has no maximal subgroup of index 9. Therefore, M_2/C_2 has just one maximal subgroup, proving that M_2/C_2 is cyclic. In view of Proposition 2.11, we have $M_2/C_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_7$, so that $|G : M_1| = 7$. On the other hand, $G/C_{10} \cong E_9 \rtimes (M_{10}/C_{10})$. So $7 \nmid |M_{10}/C_{10}|$, from which we deduce that the group $H = M_{10}/C_{10}$ has a maximal subgroup K of index 8. Now according to Lemma 1.3, $\sigma(H) = 9$, whence $K/\text{Core}_H(K)$ is cyclic, by Proposition 1.4. By using Proposition 2.11, $|K/\text{Core}_H(K)| = 7$, which is impossible.

Therefore, the case (a) is left to be considered. In this case we first show that $M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$ has a non-normal maximal subgroup. Assume that this not the case. So $M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$ is cyclic, whence it has just one maximal subgroup. Now using Proposition 2.11, we see that $|M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}| = q$. Thus, G has a normal maximal subgroup of index q . However, the only normal maximal subgroup of G is of index less than q , which is impossible. Hence $M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$ has a non-normal maximal subgroup, and so $C_{\sigma+1} \leq C_2$. Now we take $H = M_{\sigma+1}/C_{\sigma+1}$. Since $\mathbf{m}(H) = \sigma(H) = \sigma$ and H has a non-normal subgroup of index $\sigma-1$, $H/\Phi(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_r$, for some r with $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_r) = 1$. It follows that H has a unique Sylow q -subgroup by the Frattini argument. But $q^2 \nmid |\text{Aut}(E_\sigma)|$, which shows that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_t$, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{Z}_t) = 1$. Now, since $C_{\sigma+1} = \Phi(G)$ and $G/C_{\sigma+1} = E_\sigma \rtimes H$, we conclude that $G/\Phi(G)$ is isomorphic to the group (viii). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. E. Cohn, *On n -sum groups*, Math. Scand., **75** (1994), 44-58.

- [2] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups*, ed., Gruyter, Berlin, (1992).
- [3] A. Jamali and H. Mousavi, *A note on the σ -covers of finite soluble groups*, Algebra Colloquium, (to appear).
- [4] H. Mousavi, *Characterization of some finite groups with specific subgroups*, Ph.D. thesis, University for Teacher Education, (1999), 39-64
- [5] G. Pazderski, *Über maximale untergruppen endlicher gruppen*, Math. Nachr. **26**, (1963), 307-319.
- [6] Wang Jing, *The number of maximal subgroups and their types*, (Chinese. English Summary), Pure Appl. Math. **5** (1989), 24-33.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY FOR TEACHER EDUCATION, 599 TALEGHANI AVENUE, TEHRAN 15614, IRAN

E-mail address: jamali@saba.tmu.ac.ir

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES, P.O.BAX 45195-159, GAVAZANG, ZANJAN, IRAN

E-mail address: hmousavi@iasbs.ac.ir